



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/100,100 | 06/19/1998 | JAMES E. ROSS JR. | RLIS | 8195 |

7590 04/05/2002

MARK JOY
LEYDIG, VOIT & MAYER, LTD
TWO PRUDENTIAL PLAZA
SUITE 4900
CHICAGO, IL 60601-6780

EXAMINER

KANOF, PEDRO R

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2164

#21

DATE MAILED: 04/05/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Interview Summary | Application No. 09/100,100 | Applicant(s) Ross, Jr. |
| | Examiner KANOF | Group Art Unit 2164 |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) KANOF Pedro

(3) Vincent Millin

(2) JOY Mark

(4) Ross Jr., James E.

Date of Interview Feb. 28, 2002

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy is given to 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If yes, brief description:

Claim(s) discussed: 25-29 and 37-65

Identification of prior art discussed:

PCT WO 96/27837 (Amado; US Patent No. 5,701,400 (Amadol; and "Hospital Computer Systems", ed. by. M.F. Collen, Willey & Sons, 1974.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Applicant's Representative stated that Amado does not disclose all the elements of the pending claims. SPE Millin said that the Examiner would review the decision for claims 42 and 55, but noted that "Hospital Computer Systems" also discloses also claims 42 and 55. Applicant and Applicant's Representative agreed to submit an amendment with a new version of the claims.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.